

Independent Credit Evaluation of Residual debt as per Resolution plan [Issued in September 2020]

Background:

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) had introduced various schemes from time to time aimed at resolution of stressed assets in the economy (e.g., Corporate Debt Restructuring, Revitalising distressed assets – Joint Lenders' Forum (JLF) and Corrective Action Plan (CAP), Flexible restructuring of long-term project loans, strategic debt restructuring, etc.). With introduction of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (IBC), all the previous circulars / schemes were substituted with a simplified generic framework for the resolution of stressed assets.

In June 2019, RBI had introduced 'Prudential framework for resolution of stressed assets' as per the circular dated June 7, 2019. The framework applies to a larger universe of lenders, including NBFCs and small finance banks. The decision has been made voluntary for lenders to take defaulters to the bankruptcy court. As per the circular, all lenders must put in place Boardapproved policies for resolution of stressed assets, including the timelines for resolution. In case a borrower is reported to be in default by any of the lenders, lenders shall undertake a prima facie review of the borrower account within thirty days from such default. Also, disincentives such as additional provisioning for resolution delays, and penal provisions have been introduced for the lenders.

In the same circular, it has been stipulated that for the resolution plan for stressed accounts having exposure in excess of Rs.100 crore, which involve restructuring or change in ownership, the banks would have to get an independent credit evaluation (ICE) of the residual debt by an authorized Credit Rating Agency (CRA), and cases where the exposure is over Rs.500 crore, the ICE has to be done by at least two CRAs. CARE Ratings has been authorized by RBI to undertake ICE for stressed assets under the framework.

Post outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic towards the end of the financial year 2020, Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has released regulatory measures from time to time to help businesses tide through the COVID-19 pandemic and the related liquidity stress. The most recent measure by RBI to this effect is the 'Resolution Framework for COVID-19 related stress' announced on August 6, 2020.

Independent Credit Evaluation of Residual debt



As per the RBI circular, eligible borrowers are companies which are presently under stress on account of COVID-19 and which were classified as Standard, but not in default for more than 30 days with any lending institution as on March 1, 2020. The eligible borrowers have to apply for restructuring to lending institutions by submitting a resolution plan. The lending institutions are required to frame board-approved policies within the contours of the RBI circular to approve or reject such plans. The plan is said to be approved, or the resolution is said to be invoked when 75% by value and 60% by number of lenders agree to the resolution plan. Post approval, there is a process of signing of Inter creditor agreement within 30 days. The date of implementation of the plan has to be within 180 days of the date of invocation. RBI has constituted Expert Committee to make recommendations on the required financial parameters to be factored in the 'resolution plans for Covid 19 related stress' along with sector-specific benchmark ranges for such plans for Covid-19 related stress in respect of accounts where the aggregate exposure of the lending institutions at the time of invocation of the resolution process is Rs.100 crore and above by any one CRA authorized by RBI under the Prudential Framework.

This paper explains CARE Ratings' approach for arriving at rating as per the prescribed scale for the residual debt in the resolution plan for stressed assets as well as Covid-19 related Stress.

Rating scale and definitions:

ICE Symbols	Definition	
RP1	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have the highest degree of safety regarding timely servicing of financial obligations. Such debt facilities/instruments carry lowest credit risk.	
RP2	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have high degree of safety regarding timely servicing of financial obligations. Such debt facilities/instruments carry very low credit risk.	
RP3	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have adequate degree of safety regarding timely servicing of financial obligations. Such debt facilities/instruments carry low credit risk.	

CARE Ratings assigns ICE ratings as per the RBI prescribed scale given below:-



ICE Symbols	Definition	
RP4	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have moderate degree of safety regarding timely servicing of financial obligations. Such debt facilities/instruments carry moderate credit risk.	
RP5	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have moderate risk of default regarding timely servicing of financial obligations.	
RP6	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have high risk of default regarding timely servicing of financial obligations.	
RP7	Debt facilities/instruments with this symbol are considered to have very high risk of default regarding timely servicing of financial obligations.	

Methodology:

Traditionally, credit analysis of an entity begins with a review of the Economy/Industry in which the entity operates along with an assessment of the business risk factors specific to the entity. This is followed by an assessment of the financial risk factors and quality of management of the entity. For project-stage entities/ entities undertaking large projects, project risk is also analyzed for arriving at the entity's credit rating.

For the purpose of ICE, broadly the same methodology is used to assess with industry-specific factors pertaining to the sector in which the entity is engaged in (refer http://www.careratings.com)

However, the main difference between traditional credit rating and ICE is that ICE is based on the 'plan' of turning around the unit which will then generate sufficient cash flow for timely servicing of the debt. Typically, the resolution plan is drafted by lenders / committee of creditors based on techno-economic viability, quantum of sustainable debt (residual debt), which the operational cash flow business (as well as extraordinary cash flows out of sale of non-core assets, recovery of debtors / claims, etc.) can comfortably service on time without default. It is important to note here that, similar to the debt instruments and bank facilities' rating, one day missed payment is treated as default.



The following table brings out comparative features of traditional credit rating and ICE.

Comparison of features in normal credit rating vis-à-vis ICE for residual debt:

Particulars	Conventional credit rating	ICE for Resolution Plan
Period	Credit rating is assessing credit worthiness over life of instrument	ICE of the residual debt considers timely payment over resolution period after implementation of resolution plan.
Continuous review	Ratings are under surveillance till full repayment of that debt	One-time exercise
Scale	CARE AAA to CARE D	RP 1 to RP 7
What does it indicate	Probability of default	Viability of the unit and adequacy of cash flow to timely service residual debt during resolution period
Rating Agreement	Rating agreement is signed by CARE with the entity to be rated	Rating agreement is signed by CARE with the lender
Information sourcing	Information sourcing is from the entity rated/to be rated	Information sourcing is generally from the lenders with need-based access to the entity to be rated

Approach:

Keeping in view of the nuances of ICE explained above, CARE Ratings considers the following aspects.

1) Analyzing why default occurred and how those factors are addressed in resolution plan:

It is important to probe into the reasons why the asset turned defaulting asset in the first place, before slipping to NPA or stressed asset. It could be due to various reasons, viz., industry (down turn in industry cycle), business (availability of raw material, utilities, competition), or financial factors (capital requirements, skewed capital structure). CARE considers how those factors are addressed in the Resolution Plan so that the entity does not encounter the same problems again.

2) Management capability to turn around:

Independent Credit Evaluation of Residual debt



If the failure of the business was NOT due to existing management, and the lenders are working out turnaround / restructuring plan with the same management, it is important to examine the resourcefulness and risk appetite of management to turn around the operations. In such case, the resolution plan may include covenants pertaining to ensure commitment of promoters, e.g., equity contribution, DSRA, etc.

3) <u>New management's competence, relevance, resourcefulness:</u>

The turnaround strategy may be based on change in management. In such case, the relevant experience of the new management in the industry / sector is examined. The strategic importance of the business to the overall business philosophy of the new management, their commitment to bring in required resources, etc., are also factored in.

4) <u>Stress testing of the cash flows as per resolution plan:</u>

The various assumptions underlying the financial projections and cash flows are sensitized keeping in view the current scenario and it is critically analyzed whether the same can be considered realistic over the period as per the resolution plan.

5) Liquidity backup:

The resolution plan which stipulates maintenance of Debt Service Reserve Account (DSRA) and provision to top it up in case of utilization, provides liquidity cushion to withstand unforeseen cash flow volatilities. The DSRA is built up in funded manner or as non-funded bank guarantee or promoter support.

Periodic monitoring of ICE

ICE of the Resolution Plan for the stressed assets is done as a one-time exercise to be used by the lenders. As such, these ratings are not reviewed subsequently.

Analytical treatment for one-time restructuring due to Covid-19 stress:

Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has issued circular dated August 31, 2020 to the CRAs to provide relaxation from default recognition due to restructuring of debt upto December 31, 2020, owing to moratorium permitted by RBI and lockdown due to COVID-19 pandemic. CARE has accordingly prepared broad framework to be followed in compliance of this SEBI circular,



'Analytical Treatment for one-time restructuring due to Covid-19 related stress' which is available on our website <u>www.careratings.com</u>.

Conclusion

The ICE outcome is ultimately an assessment of the various assumptions underlying the resolution plan and the likelihood of successful implementation of the same. CARE Ratings analyses each of the factors covering industry, business, management and financial factors and their linkages to arrive at the overall assessment of credit quality for the residual debt as per the resolution plan of the stressed asset.

[For previous version please refer "Independent Credit Evaluation of Residual Debt" issued in July 2019]

CARE Ratings Limited

4th Floor, Godrej Coliseum, Somaiya Hospital Road, Off Eastern Express Highway, Sion (East), Mumbai - 400 022. Tel: +91-22-6754 3456, Fax: +91-22- 6754 3457, E-mail: care@careratings.com

Disclaimer

CARE's ratings are opinions on the likelihood of timely payment of the obligations under the rated instrument and are not recommendations to sanction, renew, disburse or recall the concerned bank facilities or to buy, sell or hold any security. CARE's ratings do not convey suitability or price for the investor. CARE's ratings do not constitute an audit on the rated entity. CARE has based its ratings/outlooks on information obtained from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. CARE does not, however, guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. Most entities whose bank facilities/instruments are rated by CARE have paid a credit rating fee, based on the amount and type of bank facilities/instruments. CARE or its subsidiaries/associates may also have other commercial transactions with the entity. In case of partnership/proprietary concerns, the rating /outlook assigned by CARE is, inter-alia, based on the capital deployed by the partners/proprietor and the financial strength of the firm at present. The rating/outlook may undergo change in case of withdrawal of capital or the unsecured loans brought in by the partners/proprietor in addition to the financial performance and other relevant factors. CARE is not responsible for any errors and states that it has no financial liability whatsoever to the users of CARE's rating. Our ratings do not factor in any rating related trigger clauses as per the terms of the facility/instrument, which may involve acceleration of payments in case of rating downgrades. However, if any such clauses are introduced and if triggered, the ratings may see volatility and sharp downgrades.